

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,533	03/30/2001	Toshimichi Minowa	381AS/44307C1	4156	
7590 10/10/2003 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, DALENA		
P.O. BOX 1430			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, D	C 20044-4300		3661		

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transmark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				17	
			DATE MAILE	D:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

		_		SW						
Office Action Summary		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	,						
		09/820,533	MINOWA ET AL.							
		Examiner	Art Unit							
		Dalena Tran	3661							
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY A COMMUNICATION.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this o	y. ommunication.						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>	<u>une 2003</u> .								
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Ci	aim(s) <u>20-23,26-29 and 31-38</u> is/are pending	in the application.								
) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•								
<u>-</u>	aim(s) is/are allowed.									
_	aim(s) <u>20-23,26-29 and 31-38</u> is/are rejected									
	aim(s) is/are objected to.	•								
	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement								
Application		ologion roquiromoni.								
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner									
10) <u></u> The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)∐ The	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	er.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)∐ Th∈	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
1.[Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.								
2.[Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			application).						
_a) [The translation of the foreign language prov	risional application has been rec	eived.							
ttachment(s)		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
) 🔲 Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC							

"Art Unit: 3661

₹.

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/3/03. Claims 20-23,26-29, and 31-38 are pending.
- 2. Claims 35-36 depend on claim 26, and claim 26 is an apparatus claim. Also, claims 37-38 depend on claim 28, and claim 28 is an apparatus claim. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 31-34 recites the limitation "the driving force" in "according to claims 20, 22". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, the driving force is not disclose in claims 20 and 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 20-21 and 26-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Togai et al. (5,069,181) in view of Togai et al. (5,382,205).

As per claim 20, Togai et al. ('181) disclose a method of controlling a vehicle having a first running mode wherein a driving shaft torque of the vehicle is controlled according to a first target value determined from an accelerator pedal position and a second running mode wherein a

`Art Unit: 3661

driving shaft torque of the vehicle is controlled according to a second target value determined from at least one environment operating condition ahead of vehicle, comprising: when first running mode is changed to second running mode determining a changing over time period from first running mode to second running mode based on a difference between first target value calculated in first running mode and second target value calculated in second running mode (see column 2, lines 10-50). Togai et al. ('181) do not disclose a third target value. However, Togai et al. ('205) disclose setting a third target value which varies from first target value to second target value in changing over time period (see columns 3-4, lines 36-54; column 8, lines 26-68; columns 10-11, lines 48-41; and columns 13-14, lines 10-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Togai et al. ('181) by combining setting a third target value which varies from first target value to second target value in changing over time period for reducing torque fluctuation in changing vehicle speed from the first running mode to second running mode.

Claim 26 is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claim 20 above. Therefore, it is rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

As per claim 21, Togai et al. ('181) disclose the driving shaft torque of the first running mode is controlled to gradually approach driving shaft torque of the second running mode by controlling an air / fuel ratio of an engine of vehicle (see columns 3-4, lines 25-17).

Claim 27 is an apparatus claim corresponding to method claim 21 above. Therefore, it is rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

6. Claims 22-23 and 28-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connell et al. (5,646,851) in view of Togai et al. (5,382,205).

Art Unit: 3661

ŧ,

As per claim 22, O'Connell et al. disclose a method of controlling a vehicle having a first running mode wherein an engine torque of the vehicle is controlled according to a first target value determined from an accelerator pedal position and a second running mode wherein a engine torque of the vehicle is controlled according to a second target value determined from at least one environment operating condition ahead of vehicle, comprising: when first running mode is changed to second running mode determining a changing over time period from first running mode to second running mode based on a difference between first target value calculated in first running mode and second target value calculated in second running mode (see the abstract; and columns 6-8, lines 7-27). O'Connell et al. do not disclose setting a third target value. However, Togai et al. disclose setting a third target value which varies from first target value to second target value in changing over time period (see columns 20-21, lines 41-45; and columns 25-26, lines 22-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of O'Connell et al. by combining setting a third target value which varies from first target value to second target value in changing over time period for adaptive controlling a change in vehicle speed of vehicle control system capable of controlling the engine under different control conditions and under the transitions among the control conditions.

As per claim 23, O'Connell et al. disclose the target value is controlled to gradually approach second target value by controlling an air / fuel ratio of the engine of vehicle (see columns 3-4, lines 9-51).

Claims 28-29 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 22-23 above.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Art Unit: 3661

7. Claims 31-32 and 35-36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Togai et al. (5,069,181), and Togai et al. (5,382,205) as applied to claims 20, and 26 above, and further in view of Etoh (5,048,631).

As per claims 31, and 35, Togai et al. ('181), and Togai et al. ('205) do not disclose controlling the driving force until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value. However, Etoh discloses controlling the driving force according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value (see columns 2-3, lines 46-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Togai et al. ('181), and Togai et al. ('205) by combining controlling the driving force according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value for automatically controlling vehicle speed to a desired cruise speed in a steady mode, therefore, a smooth switching between the steady state mode and transient state mode can be achieved.

Also, as per claims 32, and 36, Etoh discloses the vehicle has a third running mode wherein the driving force is controlled according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value (see columns 4-6, lines 16-64).

8. Claims 33-34, and 37-38, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connell et al. (5,646,851), and Togai et al. (5,382,205) as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Etoh (5,048,631).

As per claims 33, and 37, O'Connell et al., and Togai et al. ('205) do not disclose controlling the driving force until a difference between the second and third target values

Art Unit: 3661

becomes a predetermined value. However, Etoh discloses controlling the driving force according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value (see columns 2-3, lines 46-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of O'Connell et al., and Togai et al. ('205) by combining controlling the driving force according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value for automatically controlling vehicle speed to a desired cruise speed in a steady mode, therefore, a smooth switching between the steady state mode and transient state mode can be achieved.

Also, as per claims 34, and 38, Etoh discloses the vehicle has a third running mode wherein the driving force is controlled according to third target value until a difference between the second and third target values becomes a predetermined value (see columns 4-6, lines 16-64).

Remarks

- 9. Applicant's argument filed on 6/3/03 has been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive. However, upon updated search, the new ground of rejection has been set forth as above.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-8223. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM-5:30 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on 703-308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the

'Art Unit: 3661

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

TAN Q. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

/dt October 6, 2003